Presented by



Cabin Component Design Features

Fire Properties of Corefiller, Adhesive, "Ditch and Pot"



Cabin interior components, manufactured from sandwich composites, show design features, e.g.

- filled edges
- spliced core seams
- inserts
- certain edge formation ("ditch & pot" folding technology)

Typically, these features just cover a small percentage of the component volume or of the component surface.



Background

Applicable Requirement to interiors:

FAR/CS 25.853 (a) Appendix F part I paragraph (a)(1)(i)

FAA request

From Partial Grant of Exemption under Regulatory Docket N° FAA-2008-1249, we read that FAA considers:

certain adhesive seams and component edges need to fulfil 60-s-vertical Bunsen burner test criteria

-burn length max. 152 mm/ 6 inches

-burn time max. 15 s

-burn time of drips max. 5 s

No equivalent request from EASA, expressed so far



Filled edges



application prior to sandwich curing.

The core filler mechanically protects component

Edges are shaped by cutting or milling.

Typically applied for flat components with simple edge geometries (partitions, cabinet walls, ...).



All rights © AIRBUS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH.

Filled edges





Typically, hot curing systems; application prior to sandwich curing.

The adhesives supports to shaping of edges (predetermined by moulding tool).

During sandwich curing, the adhesive expands and fills the space between core, prepreg and moulding tool, generating (complex) shapes - supporting the formation of a homogenous surface which won't require much filling

Typically applied for components with advanced edge geometries (e.g. OHSC door).



© AIRBUS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH.

Spliced core seams



The adhesive bonds sheets of honeycomb core (prior to curing of the sandwich.

Typically applied for large components, which can't be manufactured from one single sheet of honeycomb core.



Sketches are not true to scale.

© AIRBUS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH. All rights

Inserts



G

Sketches are not true to scale.

© AIRBUS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH. All rights

"ditch and pot" component edges



Typically, the production method creates an angeled component.





Sketches are not true to scale.

© AIRBUS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH. All righ

Test sample configurations need to be agreed in detail, since the **test method** [FAR 25.853(a) and appendix F, part I] **does not provide comprehensive advice** for testing of component features.



FAR part 25, **appendix F, part I** (b)(2) "test procedure, specimen configuration" reads:

...The specimen may be cut from any location in a fabricated part; however, fabricated units, such as sandwich panels, may not be separated for test...

... The edge to which the burner flame is applied must not consist of the finished or protected edge of the specimen but must be representative of the actual cross-section of the material or part as installed in the airplane...

Interpretation I (industry interpretation):

... The edge to which the burner flame is applied must <u>not consist of the</u> finished or protected <u>edge</u> of the specimen... i.e.: no need to test the edge area.

contradiction?

Interpretation II (FAA interpretation):

... The edge to which the burner flame is applied must pot consist of the <u>finished or protected</u> edge of the <u>specimen...</u> i.e. the edge area shall be <u>tested</u>, but the protected edge covering (e.g. the prepreg) shall be removed



Aircraft materials fire test handbook

DOT/FAA/AR-00/12 (fire test handbook), para. 1.4.1 "specimen selection", reads:

... The specimen may be cut from any location in a fabricated part.

However, the edge to which the burner is applied must not consist of the finished or protected edge of the specimen.

In other words:

"Cut out the sample from wherever you want

but don't expose the finished edge to the Bunsen burner flame".

Interpretation - or fact?!



TBCEE12, Weichert, 05-March-2009

IS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH. All rights

"ditch and pot"





US DEUTSCHLAND GMBH. All righ

"as installed..." vs. "appendix F wording"





Frequently asked questions

Will test coupons of 13 mm/ 0.5 inches thickness qualify thinner or thicker panels?

Suggestion: Yes, that's generally acceptable.

Do "minor changes to qualified decor materials" require new testing?

Suggestion: No, successful initial qualification of a paint or a decor laminate is sufficient.

Further questions or remarks (regulatory point of view, industrial aspects, communication and introduction, ...) ?



ICCAIA*/ Airworthiness Committee / Cabin Safety WG:

Industry suggests the creation of a task group to develop guidance!

*Intl Coordination Council of Aviation Industries Associations



US DEUTSCHLAND GMBH. All rights



© AIRBUS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH. All rights reserved. Confidential and proprietary document.

This document and all information contained herein is the sole property of AIRBUS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH. No intellectual property rights are granted by the delivery of this document or the disclosure of its content. This document shall not be reproduced or disclosed to a third party without the express written consent of AIRBUS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH. This document and its content shall not be used for any purpose other than that for which it is supplied.

The statements made herein do not constitute an offer. They are based on the mentioned assumptions and are expressed in good faith. Where the supporting grounds for these statements are not shown, AIRBUS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH will be pleased to explain the basis thereof.

AIRBUS, its logo, A300, A310, A318, A319, A320, A321, A330, A340, A350, A380, A400M are registered trademarks.



