International Aircraft Materials Fire Test Working Group

Update on Toxicity of Burnthrough-Compliant Insulation

Presented to: IAMFT WG, Atlantic City, NJ By: Tim Marker, FAA Technical Center Date: October 21, 2008



Federal Aviation Administration

Development of Lab-Scale Test For Measuring Decomposition Products During a Postcrash Fire

2005 FAATC began development of a lab-scale test for evaluating toxic gas decomposition products that could be generated inside fuselage during a postcrash fire.

It is anticipated that this test method could be used to evaluate the potential toxicity of insulation constructions and innovations meeting the new burnthrough test requirements, in order to ensure that an *adverse* condition will not result inside an intact fuselage when exposed to an external fuel fire, despite the high burnthrough performance associated with a particular system.

This test method could also be used to evaluate the toxic contribution of the basic fuselage structure, whenever a nonmetallic material is used as the primary component.



Apparatus for Evaluating Toxic Gas Decomposition Products



Update on Toxicity of Burnthrough-Compliant Insulation October 21, 2008



FTIR and THC Sampling System Used in Lab-Scale Testing





Material Systems Tested in Lab-Scale Apparatus





Typical Data Obtained Using FTIR Analysis During Lab-Scale Test





Comparison of Box Test Results at 5 Minutes



Update on Toxicity of Burnthrough-Compliant Insulation October 21, 2008



Federal Aviation Administration







Update on Toxicity of Burnthrough-Compliant Insulation October 21, 2008









Update on Toxicity of Burnthrough-Compliant Insulation October 21, 2008



Federal Aviation Administration





FTIR and THC Sampling System Used in Full-Scale Testing





Full-Scale Test Configuration, Aluminum Skin + Insulation System



Update on Toxicity of Burnthrough-Compliant Insulation October 21, 2008



Full-Scale Test Configuration, Aluminum Skin + Insulation System



Update on Toxicity of Burnthrough-Compliant Insulation October 21, 2008



Federal Aviation Administration

Full-Scale Test Configuration, Structural Composite, no Insulation



Update on Toxicity of Burnthrough-Compliant Insulation October 21, 2008



Federal Aviation Administration



Update on Toxicity of Burnthrough-Compliant Insulation October 21, 2008



Full-Scale Test Results, Structural Composite System

Post-test



Update on Toxicity of Burnthrough-Compliant Insulation October 21, 2008



Full-Scale Test Results, Structural Composite System *Post-test*



Update on Toxicity of Burnthrough-Compliant Insulation October 21, 2008



Full-Scale Results, Structural Composite, FTIR





Full-Scale Results, Comparison of 3 Insulation Systems



Update on Toxicity of Burnthrough-Compliant Insulation October 21, 2008



Federal Aviation Administration

How do the test results compare?





Determination of Full Scale Test Article Volume



Forward Volume = Cabin Area x Fwd Length = (10989.9/144) x 68 = 5189.7 cu ft Mid Volume = Total Cabin Area x Mid Length = (17203/144) x 20 = 2389.4 cu ft Aft Volume = Cabin Area x Aft Length = (10989.9/144) x 22 = 1679 cu ft Total Volume = Forward Volume + Mid Volume + Aft Volume Total Volume = 5189.7 + 2389.4 + 1679 Total Volume = 9258.1 cu ft





Determination of Gas Concentration Scaling Factor

Ratio of Volume_{Box} to Burn Area_{Box} = $60.33 \text{ ft}^3 / 9.25 \text{ ft}^2 = 6.52$

Ratio of Volume_{FSTest} to Burn Area_{FSTest} = 9258.1 ft³ / 64 ft² = 144.7

Ratio of Full Scale to Lab Scale = 144.7 / 6.52 = 22.2

Full-Scale Test Article has 22.2 Times More Volume per Burn Area than Lab Scale Box

Theoretical Lab Scale Box Concentration is 22.2 Times Greater than Full Scale Concentration



Gas Concentration Scaling, PAN Insulation System





Gas Concentration Scaling, Ceramic Barrier Insulation System





Gas Concentration Scaling, Structural Composite System





Gas Concentration Scaling, Findings

Analysis only considers volumetric aspects,

Analysis assumes perfect mixing,

Analysis does not consider surface area effects.



Spreadsheet Data Used to Compare Tests

	Full-Scale Test Data			Allowable Exposure from Various References		Lab-Scale Data		Scaling Factor												
	PAN/M	et PVF	FG/Co Barrier/ (260	eramic Met PVF Sec)	Strue Com	ctural posite		5 Minute E	xposure		60 Minute Exposure	30 Minute Exposure	٧F	PVF		VF	lc PVF		Acceptable Lab-	
Gas Yields at 5 minutes into test	Mid Station at 5'6"	Fwd Station at 5'6"	Mid Station at 5'6"	Fwd Station at 5'6"	Mid Station at 5'6"	Fwd Station at 5'6"	Incap Conc	LC50	Derived from 60 min ERPG3	Derived from 30 min IDLH	ERPG 3 (2007) d	IDLH (1995) _e	PAN/Met P	FG/Cerami Barrier/Met	Structural Composite	PAN/Met P	FG/ Ceram Barrier/Met (260 Sec)	Structural Composite	Scale Tox Limit= 5 Min Exp Limit x Scaling Factor (ppm)	Acceptable Lab-Scale Tox Limit (ppm)
C6H5NH2	4.63	3.27	5.5	3.27	1.73	0.29	Not Avail			600	?	100	68.73	91.14	6.14	14.8	16.6	3.5	600 x 15.7 (IDLH)	9,420
C6H5OH	7.02	4.59	9.57	5.01	9.4	4	Not Avail		2400	1500	200	250	52.22	38.95	9.78	7.4	4.1	1.0	2400 x 4.2 (ERPG)	10,080
C6H6	10.46	10.41	8.05	4.56	7.21	3.21	Not Avail	Not Avail	12,000	3000	1000	500	76.60	52.5	8.33	7.3	6.5	1.2	12,000 x 5.0 (ERPG)	60,000
CH2CHCHO	0	0	0	0	0	0	10928	7783	18	12	1.5	2	55.50	146.04	0	ND	ND	0.0	7783 x 4 (LC50)	31,132
COCI2	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not Avail	102 _c	12	12	1	2	0.00	3.9	0	ND	ND	0.0	102 x 4 (LC50)	408
COF2	0	0.21	0	0	0	0	Not Avail	Not Avail	300		25 (est)	?	0.00	0	0.43	0.0	0.0	ND	300 x 4 (ERPG3)	1,200
cos	0	0.61	0	0	0.53	0.34	500* (500 for 15min- brain damage)	(1000 for 15 minutes)	1200 (H2S)		100 (H ₂ S)	?	38.66	0	0.84	63.4	0.0	1.6	500 x 4	2,000
HBr	0	0	0	0	0	0	16830	15900	1800	180	150 (est)	30	0.00	0	0	ND	0.0	0.0	15900 x 4 (LC50)	63,600
HCI	0	0	0	0	0.49	0.29	16830	15900	1800	300	150	50	0.00	0	3.43	ND	0.0	7.0	15900 x 4 (LC50)	63,600
HCN	16.4	10.75	0	0	0	0	176	560	300	300	25	50	467.00	111.74	0	28.5	ND	0.0	176 x 20 (Incap)	3,520
HCN (peak)	22.7	26.9					176	560	300	300	25	50	467.00	111.74	0	17.4	ND	ND	176 x 20 (Incap)	3,520
HF	0	0	0	0	0	0	7663	7227	600	180	50	30	14.46	19.3	0	ND	ND	0.0	7227 x 4 (LC50)	28,908
N20	3.95	9.94	7.81	3.72	2.99	1	Not Avail	Not Avail			?	?	18.75	62.56	0	1.9	8.0	0.0		No Limit
NH3	5.55	4.32	4.5	1.82	1.36	1	Not Avail	Not Avail	9000	1800	750	300	367.20	289.19	3.3	66.2	64.3	2.4	9000 x 65.3 (ERPG)	587,700
NO a	0	0	0	0	0	0	12850	4260	1800	600	150	100	0.00	0	0	ND	0.0	0.0	4260 x 4 (LC50)	17,040
NO2 a	2.02	1.19	13.13	6.19	0	0	2570	852	360	120	30	20	0.00	0	0	ND	0.0	0.0	852 x 4	3,408
SO2	19.81	2.06	2.04	1.33	2.82	2.56	Not Avail	2115	180	600	15	100	246.57	0	31.17	12.4	0.0	11.1	2115 x 11.8	24,957
SO2 (peak)	55.4	65.5					Not Avail	2115	180	600	15	100	246.57	0	31.17	3.8	0.0	ND	2115 x 4.5	9,518
со	190.9	104.8	99.18	44.49	7.7	4.2	6850	16600	6000	7200	500	1200	4645.76	2116.23	55.32	24.3	21.3	7.2	16600 x 17.6 (ERPG3)	292,160
CO2	1367.6	730.3	2674.66	1608	42	30	88000					40000	11506.60	12657	96.7	8.4	4.7	2.3	88,000 x 6.6 _b	580,800
H2O	1973.9	4885	3160.63	1684	627	276							10164.77	19430	1808.29	2.1	6.1	2.9	No Limit	
THC as Propane	97.9	72.2	68.17	55.21	22	20.8							629.71	903.5	22.0	6.4	13.3	1.0	21,000 x 6.9	144,900
Oxygen Depletion	3500	2100	6470	2920	150	0	136000						3000.00		1120	0.9	0.0	7.5	Remove	



Development of Decomposition Products Limits for Burnthrough Compliant Insulation Systems

Example: HCN

Determine what the acceptable HCN limit should be in the lab-scale apparatus, based on the data obtained in both the lab- and full-scale tests.



Step 1: Determine if the fullscale concentration is less than the allowable 5-minute limits

	Full-Scale Test Data						
	PAN/M	et PVF	FG/Ce Barrier/ (260	eramic Met PVF Sec)	Structural Composite		
Gas Yields at 5 minutes into test	Mid Station at 5'6"	Fwd Station at 5'6"	Mid Station at 5'6"	Fwd Station at 5'6"	Mid Station at 5'6"	Fwd Station at 5'6"	
C6H5NH2	4.63	3.27	5.5	3.27	1.73	0.29	
C6H5OH	7.02	4.59	9.57	5.01	9.4	4	
C6H6	10.46	10.41	8.05	4.56	7.21	3.21	
CH2CHCHO	0	0	0	0	0	0	
COCI2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
COF2	0	0.21	0	0	0	0	
COS	0	0.61	0	0	0.53	0.34	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	16.4	0	0	0	0.49	0.29	
	10.4	10.75	0	0	0	0	
псії (реак)	22.1	20.9	0	0	0	0	
N20	3.05	0 0/	7.81	3 72	2 00	1	
NH3	5.55	4.32	4.5	1.82	1.36	1	
NO a	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NO2 _a	2.02	1.19	13.13	6.19	0	0	
SO2	19.81	2.06	2.04	1.33	2.82	2.56	
SO2 (peak)	55.4	65.5					
со	190.9	104.8	99.18	44.49	7.7	4.2	
CO2	1367.6	730.3	2674.66	1608	42	30	
H2O	1973.9	4885	3160.63	1684	627	276	
THC as Propane	97.9	72.2	68.17	55.21	22	20.8	
Oxygen Depletion	3500	2100	6470	2920	150	0	



Step 1: Determine if the fullscale concentration is less than the allowable 5-minute exposure limits.

is 26.9 less than 176?

Allowable Exposure from Various References						
	5 Minute E	60 Minute Exposure	30 Minute Exposure			
Incap Conc	LC50	Derived from 60 min ERPG3	Derived from 30 min IDLH	ERPG 3 (2007) _d	IDLH (1995) _e	
Not Avail			600	?	100	
Not Avail		2400	1500	200	250	
Not Avail 10928	Not Avail 7783	12,000 18	3000 12	1000 1.5	500 2	
Not Avall	102 _c	12	12	1	2	
Not Avail	Not Avail	300		25 (est)	?	
for 15min- brain damage)	(1000 for 15 minutes)	1200 (H2S)		100 (H ₂ S)	?	
16830	15900	1800	180	150 (est)	30	
16830	15900	1800	300	150	50	
176	560	300	300	25	50	
176	560	300	300	25	50	
7663	7227	600	180	50	30	
Not Avail	Not Avail	9000	1800	? 750	? 300	
12000	4200	1000	120	20	20	
Not Avail	2115	190	600	15	100	
Not Avail	2115	180	600	15	100	
6850 88000	16600	6000	7200	500	1200	
00000					10000	
136000						

If yes, proceed to step 2

If no, toxicity levels exceed allowable limits for this material.



Step 2: Divide the lab-scale concentration by the full-scale concentration to obtain a scaling factor for each of the three material systems tested.



Lab-Scale Data						
PAN/Met PVF	FG/Ceramic Barrier/Met PVF	Structural Composite				
68.73	91.14	6.14				
52.22	38.95	9.78				
76.60	52.5	8.33				
0.00	146.04	0				
0.00	3.9	0				
0.00	0	0.43				
38.66	0	0.84				
0.00	0	0				
0.00	0	3.43				
467.00	111.74	0				
467.00	111.74	0				
14.46	19.3	0				
18.75	62.56	0				
367.20	289.19	3.3				
0.00	0	0				
0.00	0	0				
246.57	0	31.17				
246.57	0	31.17				
4645.76	2116.23	55.32				
11506.60	12657	96.7				
10164.77	19430	1808.29				
629.71	903.5	22.0				
3000.00		1120				

Full-Scale Test Data						
PAN/M	et PVF	FG/Co Barrier/ (260	eramic Met PVF Sec)	Structural Composite		
Mid Station at 5'6"	Fwd Station at 5'6"	Mid Station at 5'6"	Fwd Station at 5'6"	Mid Station at 5'6"	Fwd Station at 5'6"	
4.63	3.27	5.5	3.27	1.73	0.29	
7.02	4.59	9.57	5.01	9.4	4	
10.46	10.41	8.05	4.56	7.21	3.21	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0.01	0	0	0	0	
0	0.21	0	0	0	0	
0	0.61	0	0	0.53	0.34	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0.49	0.29	
16.4	10.75	0	0	0	0	
22.7	26.9	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
3.95	9.94	7.81	3.72	2.99	1	
5.55	4.32	4.5	1.82	1.36	1	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
2.02	1.19	13.13	6.19	0	0	
19.81	2.06	2.04	1.33	2.82	2.56	
55.4	65.5					
190.9	104.8	99.18	44.49	7.7	4.2	
1367.6	730.3	2674.66	1608	42	30	
1973.9	4885	3160.63	1684	627	276	
97.9	72.2	68.17	55.21	22	20.8	
3500	2100	6470	2920	150	0	

So	Scaling Factor							
PAN/Met PVF	FG/ Ceramic Barrier/Met PVF (260 Sec)	Structural Composite						
14.8	16.6	3.5						
7.4	4.1	1.0						
7.3 ND	6.5 ND	1.2 0.0						
ND	ND	0.0						
0.0	0.0	ND						
63.4	0.0	1.6						
ND	0.0	0.0						
ND	0.0	7.0						
28.5	ND	0.0						
17.4	ND	ND						
ND	ND	0.0						
1.9	8.0	0.0						
66.2	64.3	2.4						
ND	0.0	0.0						
12.4	0.0	11.1						
3.8	0.0	ND						
24.3	21.3	7.2						
8.4	4.7	2.3						
2.1	6.1	2.9						
6.4	13.3	1.0						
0.9	0.0	7.5						

Update on Toxicity of Burnthrough-Compliant Insulation October 21, 2008



- Step 3: Determine the average scaling factor for each gas measured.
- Do not average zero values.

Scaling Factor						
PAN/Met PVF	FG/ Ceramic Barrier/Met PVF (260 Sec)	Structural Composite				
14.8	16.6	3.5				
7.4	4.1	1.0				
7.3 ND	6.5 ND	1.2 0.0				
ND	ND	0.0				
0.0	0.0	ND				
63.4	0.0	1.6				
ND	0.0	0.0				
ND	0.0	7.0				
28.5	ND	0.0				
17.4	ND	ND				
ND	ND	0.0				
66.2	8.0 64.3	2.4				
ND	0.0	0.0				
ND	0.0	0.0				
12.4	0.0	11.1				
3.8	0.0	ND				
24.3	21.3	7.2				
8.4	4.7	2.3				
2.1	6.1	2.9				
6.4	13.3	1.0				
0.9	0.0	7.5				



Step 4: Multiply the 5-minute allowable exposure limit by the average scaling factor (times a safety factor?) for each gas. This will yield an acceptable limit In the lab-scale apparatus.

Acceptable Lab- Scale Tox Limit= 5 Min Exp Limit x Scaling Factor (ppm)	Acceptable Lab-Scale Tox Limit (ppm)
600 x 15.7 (IDLH)	9,420
2400 x 4.2 (ERPG)	10,080
12,000 x 5.0	60.000
(EKPG) 7783 x / (LC50)	31 132
$102 \times 4 (LC50)$	408
300 x 4 (ERPC3)	1 200
300 X 4 (EKPG3)	1,200
500 x 4 15900 x 4 (LC50) 15900 x 4 (LC50)	2,000 63,600 63,600
176 x 20 (Incap)	3,520
176 x 20 (Incap)	3,520
7227 x 4 (LC50)	28,908
	No Limit
9000 x 65.3 (ERPG) 4260 x 4 (LC50) 852 x 4	587,700 17,040 3,408
2115 x 11.8	24,957
2115 x 4.5	9,518
(ERPG3)	292,160
88,000 x 6.6 h	580,800
No Limit	
21,000 x 6.9	144,900
Remove	



Development of Decomposition Products Limits for Burnthrough Compliant Insulation Systems

Note on exposure limits: use incapacitation concentration for calculations when available.

If no incapacitation data exists, use LC50 data

If no LC50 data exists, use ERPG3 (5 min) data

If no ERPG3 (5 min) data exists, use IDLH (5 min) data

If incapacitation data and LC50 data available, use lower of two values



Development of Decomposition Products Limits for Burnthrough Compliant Insulation Systems

The ERPG-3 is the maximum airborne concentration below which it is believed nearly all individuals could be exposed for up to 1 hour without experiencing or developing life-threatening health effects.

ERPGs are published by The American Industrial Hygiene Association http://www.aiha.org/Content/InsideAIHA/Volunteer%2BGroups/ERPcomm.htm

The purpose for establishing this IDLH (Immediately Dangerous to Life and Health, NIOSH) was to determine a concentration from which a worker could escape without injury or without irreversible health effects in the event of respiratory protection equipment failure (e.g., contaminant breakthrough).

